1. Introduction

This paper locates the field of TESOL within a broad and complex social context marked by dramatic and dynamic technological and socio-economic changes that have taken place over the few last decades in many countries in the world. Understanding these transformations is crucial for educators because it facilitates understanding of the educational and professional needs of people (Saha 1997; Allen 2004; Ballantine & Spade 2008). I begin this paper with a review of the literature which describes macro-level transformations in contemporary society and the economy, and their implications for the employment market and practices. I argue that digital literacy provides opportunities for development of these professional capabilities. Taking into account the professional requirements for contemporary employees, I then review current literature (from the last five years) from the field of TESOL to examine if and to what extent technology use and existing approaches address learners’ professional needs. Finally, I refer to Literacy Studies (Scribner & Cole 1981; Street 1984; 2009; Barton, Hamilton & Ivanic 2000; Gee 2000; 2004; Lankshear & Knobel 2003; Pahl & Rowsell 2005; Snyder 2009; Warschauer 2009) as a useful theoretical paradigm and digital literacy as the approach to language teaching it offers for TESOL educators to rethink what else (in addition to mastering language proficiency) they might and, in fact, need to do with digital technologies. After the review of Literacy Studies research, the implications for the field of TESOL are discussed.

1 TESOL stands for Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages. Acknowledging current debates about language ownership and blurred borders between such categories as a “second” and “foreign” language, in this paper I use this word as an umbrella term to refer to English language education in different contexts (ESL, EFL, EIL, and EAL).
2. Transformations in technology, society and economy

Toward the end of the 20th century, at the beginning of the 1970s, several significant interrelated transformations had a dramatic influence on the social realm and human lives in many countries (Castells 2000). In particular, an Information Communication Technology (ICT) revolution began (and still continues) to reshape the material basis of society resulting in the emergence of a new societal organisation and new economy (Earle, Fopp & Edgar 1999; Castells 2000; Warschauer 2000; 2006; Giddens 2006).

The technological revolution associated with the development and diffusion of new technologies in microelectronics, computing, telecommunications, broadcasting, optoelectronics and generic engineering has spread throughout the globe in less than two decades due to the advances in ICT infrastructure, lower costs and higher effectiveness (Castells 2000; Giddens & Sutton 2009). For instance, the number of Internet users worldwide increased from 394 million people in 2000 up to over two billion people in 2010; mobile subscriptions worldwide increased from 739 million people in 2000 up to 5,282 billion people in 2010 (International Telecommunication Union 2011). Although there are countries, regions, communities and people which remain outside the global ICT revolution and lack access to technology as well as the practices and opportunities it can provide; overall, contemporary society is characterised by a significant rise, and ongoing flow and exchange of information, capital and communication within and across economies, societies and cultures over recent years (Castells 2004; Giddens 2009).

Revolutionary changes in the nature of information and communication practices have influenced the entire realm of social practices, resulting in dramatic changes in social modes of development. There is a spectrum of terms used to describe contemporary societal organisation – informationalism, post-industrial society, informational society, the Information Age, knowledge society (Castells & Aoyama 1994; Castells 2004; Giddens & Griffiths 2006; Giddens 2009). All of these names signal a shift from the Industrial Age to the Information Age. While the industrial mode of development was associated with the introduction and circulation of new energy sources, the contemporary informational mode of development is based on information processing, symbolic communication and knowledge generation as a source of innovation, development, and economic expansion (Castells 2000; Giddens 2009). Present-day society is characterised by continuous and sophisticated use, manipulation and production of information, as well as increased local and global communication for constructing, sharing and enhancing knowledge, which have become important socio-cultural, political and, especially, economic practices. As a result, the world economy is experiencing profound changes, rapidly re-shaping into “a new economy”.

Castells (1993) argues that the new economy of advanced capitalist societies, which has been progressively developing over the past half-century in close conjunction with the ICT revolution and informationalism, can be defined in terms of five systematically interrelated features. First, as discussed above, the basic economic resource and source of productivity is no longer capital, natural resources and labour but knowledge and information. Second, there has been a shift from material commodities to an information-processing and service-based economy both in terms of Gross National Product (GNP) and employment rates (Castells 2000; Parkay 2006). Third, goods production has shifted from standardised mass production of relatively uniform goods to customised production of products and services matching customers’ needs and has become more flexible, dynamic, diverse and more concerned about quality. In addition, significant re-structuring and changes in the social relationship of work has occurred (Gee, Lanksher & Hall 1996; Giddens 2009). Fourth, the new economy is a global economy and its practices are organised across national boundaries (Castells 1993). Finally, the core of the rejuvenation of the economy is an ongoing technological revolution. The development and spread of technology constantly facilitates new economic and organisational changes as ICT allows the creation and transferral of knowledge with greater speed and accuracy (Germov & Poole 2011). Researchers’ interest in this new type of economy has resulted in a wide spectrum of terms in the economic, political and sociological literature – informational economy (Castells 1993), knowledge economy (Giddens 2009), new capitalism (Gee, Lanksher & Hall 1996), post-capitalism (Drucker 1993), fast capitalism (Agger 1989; 2004; Gee, Lanksheer & Hall 1996). Named differently as a result of varying theoretical perspectives, these terms are informed by the features described above (although not necessarily summarised by Castells (1993)) and thus, overlap in many ways. However, all of them contribute to explaining and capturing the essence of new economy.
Informed by the transformations described above and, in fact, a part of them, employment patterns, the nature of work, work order and the requirements for professional capabilities have changed over the last decades. Since the middle of the 20th century, all industrialised countries have been experiencing a shift from manufacturing to the service sector and information processing, moving their occupational sites from “the factory to the office” (Warschauer 2006:6) and the nature of work has become less characterised by physical production and distribution but more by design of products, development, sales, servicing and marketing (Earle, Fopp & Edgar 1999; Warschauer 2000; 2006; Giddens 2009). Furthermore, in the 1990s, major organisational transformations were taking place in business. A new model of business organisation reinforced the emergence of the so-called “new work order” (Gee, Lankshear & Hall 1996). While the old model was characterised by assigned job tasks and responsibilities, fragmented understanding of work organisation, and bureaucratic and hierarchical management, the new model of business is characterised by egalitarianism or a flat hierarchy, employees’ active participation, autonomy and responsibilities, networking within and outside the company, customisation, hyper-competition and perfectionism (Gee, Lankshear & Hall 1996; Castells 2000; Giddens 2009; Germov & Poole 2011). These characteristics of a new model of business organisation have explicit implications for employees and, in particular, their professional capabilities.

The expectations of contemporary workers have become very demanding. For example, in a new model of organisation workers are “partners” (Gee, Lankshear & Hall 1996:30) and “knowledge workers” (Drucker 1993:8; Giddens 2009:916) who, instead of following a job description mechanically, know how to “allocate knowledge to productive use” (Drucker 1993:8), understand the whole process, are “empowered” to work autonomously and control their jobs without supervision as well as actively seek to improve the processes. Also called “symbolic analysts” (Warschauer 2006:6) (ie. information analysts), people are expected to be highly analytic and reasoning in their use and manipulation of the constant flow of information and opinions, and able to identify and solve problems, adopting creative approaches. Multi-skilling or the ability to deal with several projects simultaneously and manage multiple responsibilities is identified as another important capability (Giddens 2009).

Workers also need to be critical, argumentative and persuasive in communication and negotiation, participate actively in networks and group-work, and engage in collaboration with others in a professional manner. Planning and organising capabilities are important to be able to take initiative, and to design and implement assignments. A significant part of contemporary work involves dealing with new technologies and associated types of multimodal texts (eg. words, sounds, images, numbers, etc.) and engaging in numerous practices with digital technologies, including those described above. They require certain capabilities or, in other words, digital literacy (Lankshear, Snyder & Green 2000; Warschauer 2006).

Regardless of age and experience, it is also necessary to be open to new ideas, ready for constant changes and willing to learn and grow professionally because the environment is “fast-changing” (Gee 2004:97) – knowledge goes out of date rapidly and technological innovation is ongoing (Gee, Lankshear & Hall 1996; Giddens & Griffiths 2006; Warschauer 2006). Personal traits, characteristics and values have become increasingly valued and actively sought by employers (Giddens 2009). In addition, workers are expected to be always ready for efficient work; motivated, committed to professionalism, have a positive attitude to work practices and be willing to work harder and for longer hours (Gee, Lankshear & Hall 1996). Further, in the context of globalisation, English has acquired a very powerful status and today it dominates in many areas and fields (Crystal 2003). Altogether, this research knowledge has important implications for English language learners all over the world – to be competitive in a global labour market and participate in professional practices successfully people need opportunities to develop these sophisticated capabilities outlined above in the context of English language. The role of information and communication technologies and relevant approaches, such as digital literacy, for providing learners with these opportunities and experiences is vital. But how has the use of technology in the field of TESOL been adapted to these broader social and economic changes? To answer this question I now review research in the field of TESOL and technology.
3. Current research in the field

The use of technology in the field of TESOL has a long history and it has been the subject of a wealth of research over the past decades, however, the limitation on length here does not permit an exhaustive literature review. Further, the evolution of early pedagogies (since 1970s) associated with the use of technology in TESOL, known as computer-assisted language learning (CALL), is well-documented by Warschauer (2004), Murray (2008) and Kern (2006). Drawing on the work of these researchers, I briefly introduce the major shifts in thinking about the use of technology in the field of TESOL and then focus on recent research (mostly from the past five years) to examine current practices and trends.

The earliest uses of ICT in TESOL pedagogy (1970s-1980s) were based on a structural view of language and a grammar/translation/audio-lingual teaching paradigm which assumed skill-and-drill exercises, often as a respite from the classroom for a teacher (Warschauer 2004; Murray 2008). The next phase (1980s-1990s) was informed by a cognitive perspective on language and a communicative approach to language teaching. The main uses of technology involved communicative exercises to practice English where “input” (not content and learners’ speech) was seen as significant for the development of learners’ mental linguistic systems (Warschauer 2004). Technology was also used for text manipulation, word-processing and materials development rather than as an integral part of the curriculum with its own objectives (Murray 2008). According to Warschauer (2004:22), technology use in TESOL in the early 2000s was “integrative” because it was informed by socio-cognitive perspectives on language and a content-based teaching paradigm which promoted “authentic discourse” as a principal use of technology (eg. real-life tasks online). The focus of such an approach was “entering new communities”, “familiarising” oneself with new genres and discourses and learning language through “apprenticing into new discourse communities”.

Since the last CALL stage described by Warschauer (2004), digital technologies have evolved significantly and spread enormously, in particular, Web 2.0 technologies. A significant body of research reported substantial use of digital technologies in ESL/EFL education. For example, a number of studies explored the use of different technologies, applications and digital spaces including diverse means of online communication (Dooly 2007; Birch & Volkov 2007; Grosbois 2011), social networking (Kablan, Ahmad & Abidin 2010; Shih 2011), wikis (Alyousef & Picard 2011), blogs (Ducate & Lomicka 2005; Rivens Mompean 2010; Arslan & Sahin-Kizil 2010), mobile phones (Reinders & Cho 2010), movie-making (Kebble 2008), and multimedia (Baturaya, Daloglua & Yildirim 2010; Whiting & Granoff 2010). The review of these studies below aims at examining how digital technologies are used in a TESOL context and if and to what extent their use is responsive to the professional needs of contemporary learners. The organisation of this section is informed by two main foci identified in the literature. It also aims to extend Warschauer’s overview by identifying current trends in technology use in TESOL.

Drawing on the nature of Web 2.0 technologies and social theories dominating contemporary pedagogy, many contemporary researchers argue that ICT use in language learning needs to be informed by socio-constructivist approaches which assume learner-centred, collaborative, participatory, interactive, communicative, creative and reflective practices. However, the primary focus of these studies is the effect of technologies on language learning, the learning process itself and students’ motivation. For example, Reinder and Cho (2010:3) researched the use of mobile phones for engaging EFL students in “extensive listening” to grammatical forms “to give them more exposure to English” out of the classroom. Birch and Volkov (2007) and Dooly (2007), although not ignoring social aspects of online practices, emphasised that online discussions and other means of online communication were highly beneficial for language courses. Shih (2011) reported that the use of Facebook in an English language writing course in a Taiwanese college helped to improve the English writing skills of the students – organisation, grammar, structure, content, vocabulary and spelling. Similarly, Alyousef and Picard (2011) reported that participation in a wiki project contributed to the improvement of the quality of ESL students’ writing. According to Arslan and Sahin-Kizil (2010), Ducate and Lomicka (2005) and Rivens Mompean (2010), blogging provides numerous opportunities for the improvement of language and cultural proficiency. Kebble (2008:24), focusing on movie-making as “a language-learning exercise”, also reports its linguistic benefits. The increase of students’ motivation and enthusiasm about in ICT-based activities has been reported in numerous studies (Ducate & Lomicka 2005; Dooly 2007; Birch & Volkov 2007; Kebble 2008; Reinders & Cho 2010; Shih 2011; Chen, Quadir & Teng 2011).

A number of studies suggest that technology is often used as a tool or as a resource to practise language skills, in a similar way to the approach suggested by the structural and communicative CALL approach of the 20th century. For example,
Conroy (2010) found that the use of Google searches and online dictionaries supports language learning and writing improvement. Chen, Qyadir and Teng (2011) designed a learning system integrating book, digital (multimedia) content and robotic technology for such language activities as learning vocabulary, read-along and human-robot conversations. According to them, the system enhanced EFL students’ language learning and helped to build up their confidence in spoken English. Similarly, Whiting and Granoff (2010) and Baturaya, Dogulu and Yildirim (2010) investigated the effects of multimedia on comprehension of a print-based story and grammar revision respectively. Murray (2008) reported that word processing, spreadsheets and PowerPoint presentations were the primary uses of ICT in ESL education. She also found that the teacher-participants of her research used email extensively with learners but did not use any other means of CMC. Furthermore, the Internet was often used in ESL classes for basic text manipulation to achieve traditional print-based language objectives.

The secondary focus of some of these studies is the development of some other capabilities (in addition to language) in the context of ICT use. However, these capabilities are less researched as compared to language proficiency and in many cases the focus is somewhat superficial. For example, Alyousef and Picard (2011) argued that a wiki project appeared to have great potential for engaging the students in team work, cooperation and collaboration, for the development of communication and research skills and enhancing the learners’ innovative and critical thinking. Similar findings were reported about blogging (Ducate & Lomicka 2005). Conroy (2010), Reinders and Cho (2010) emphasised that technology facilitated independent learning practices or, in other words, higher learner autonomy and less teacher-centred approach. Birch and Volkov (2007) and Shih (2011) found that online practices encouraged the students in sharing their views and opinions and exchanging feedback and thus, develop their communication and interaction skills. Chen, Qyadir and Teng (2011) reported that the use of their ICT-based system promoted lifelong learning habits among the students. According to Birch and Volkov (2007), online discussions were beneficial in terms of developing more effective electronic communication skills and more confidence operating in an electronic environment. Ducate and Lomicka (2005) referred very briefly to the risks associated with publishing on the web. However, the majority of studies ignore many of the important aspects associated with English language students’ capabilities to use technology effectively and engage in associated practices successfully, in spite of the reports on ESL/EFL students’ digital literacy needs, ranging from the lack of basic skills to highly sophisticated capabilities that the use of digital technology may require (Murray 2008; Tour 2010; Alyousef & Picard 2011) as well as earlier critique of traditional approaches to technology in the TESOL field (Warschauer 1999; Sutherland-Smith 2002; Murray 2005).

This review of the literature suggests that there is a growing number of ESL/EFL educators designing and delivering learning practices around Web 2.0 technologies as a result of experimenting with technology and/or emerging understandings of contemporary young people’s interests and learning or professional needs. It also suggests that many educators attempt to inform their practices by social constructivist theories that promote active, participatory, collaborative and authentic practices via technology or in a digital environment. The literature review provides evidence that current approaches to technology use in the field of TESOL are slowly shifting towards collaborative and more authentic practices with technology or, in other words, situated practices.

However, these approaches are still an exception rather than an integral component of ESL/EFL curriculum. In many cases earlier views of language (structural, cognitive and socio-cognitive) are still privileged which explains why language proficiency remains the main objective of technology use and ICT is more or less an addition to the existing traditional print-based practices even though it is recognised as a valuable contributor to language learning. This work supports and encourages the use of digital technologies in the field of TESOL for authentic language practices.

While the effect of technology on students’ engagement and authentic language learning was an important focus at the early stages of technology use in TESOL, these days, almost 40 years later, it needs to be more than that. As Kern (2006:187) argues, second language education is informed by “a rich variety” of theoretical frameworks, or rather their mix, to inform teaching and learning in diverse educational contexts with a range of goals and challenges. However, as the literature review also suggests dominating views of language and technology do not necessarily respond to the students’ learning and professional needs that are associated with authentic technology use to the fullest extent, if at all in some cases. A lack of these capabilities among ESL/EFL learners may have significant implications for their further education and prospective career in a highly technological and globalised context introduced earlier in the paper. Alternative theoretical frameworks are needed which may help ESL/EFL educators to re-think the role of technology.
4. An alternate theoretical approach

In this section, I discuss a theoretical framework, known as Literacy Studies (Scribner & Cole 1981; Street 1984; 2009; Gee 2000; 2004; Barton, Hamilton & Ivanic 2000; Hamilton 2002; Lankshear & Knobel 2003; Pahl & Rowsell 2005; Snyder 2009; Warschauer 2009). It is consistent with socio-cultural views of second language education (Mitchell & Myles 1998; Lantolf 2000; Warschauer 2005); however its use in research on technology in the field of TESOL is not yet widespread. Here, I introduce some of the key perspectives associated with this theoretical framework and discuss how it may help ESL/EFL educators to move beyond using ICT just to access authentic resources for language learning and to motivate students.

It is more than 25 years since the view of literacy as primarily cognitive in nature, neutral and decontextualised has been challenged by a number of scholars (Scribner & Cole 1981; Street 1984). The field known as The New Literacy Studies (NLS) previously and Literacy Studies these days represents a movement which recognises the importance of social and contextual accounts of literacy. The scholars working within this field argue that literacy practices are always situated within certain social contexts and these contexts make the practices meaningful. A significant body of work has been produced in the field of Literacy Studies over these years (Scribner & Cole 1981; Street 1984; 2009; Gee 2000; 2004; Barton, Hamilton & Ivanic 2000; Hamilton 2002; Lankshear & Knobel 2003; Pahl & Rowsell 2005; Snyder 2009; Warschauer 2009). This work criticises the view of literacy “as an issue of measurement or of skills” (Street 2009:21) or as autonomous by failing to acknowledge the complexity of literacy practices. Rather, by shifting the focus from investigating literacy in the classroom to researching literacy events in the lives of people in different social settings (eg. home and various communities), researchers argue for the need to rethink the concept of literacy and approach it as a socio-culturally, historically situated practice, linked to people’s identities, having multiple forms and highly ideological in nature.

These days, the field of Literacy Studies continues to develop – its work now is represented by studies which attempt to broaden the concept of literacy research in a number of directions. One of them is the shift from print to digital technologies (New London Group 1996; Beavis & Durrant 2001; Warschauer 2006; 2009; Coiro, Knobel, Lankshear & Leu 2008; Snyder 2009). These scholars argue that technology has been always a part of literacy. However, in the context of the progressive development, and the spread and active use of ICT, the relationship between literacy and technology is becoming increasingly complex. Technology has influenced the ways people participate in social practices and the nature of these practices; it has facilitated the emergence of new types of texts and thus, new forms of literacy practices. Nowadays people frequently encounter and have to deal with digital texts which have different features to traditional print-based texts: diverse modes of meaning construction (eg. linguistic, visual, audio, gestural, spatial and multimodal) (New London Group 1996:65), a variety of genres, non-linear ways of text connection (eg. connected to each other with the help of hyperlinks), and a wide range of surrounding and easily accessible local and global contexts. These changes in the nature of literacy practices facilitate the view that the notion of literacy needs to be broader and include not only traditional print-based literacy skills but also new capabilities associated with the digital environment (Lankshear, Snyder & Green 2000). There are some variations in terminology and definitions of new forms of literacy, however, they often coincide and overlap: digital literacy (Gilster 1997), technoliteracy (Lankshear, Snyder & Green 2000), and electronic literacy (Warschauer 2006) are examples. As Snyder and Bultin argue, although they are labelled in different ways – new, electronic, digital, 21st century, techno or silicon literacies – they “represent the ways in which meanings are made within networked electronic communication systems” (2008:806).

These orientations towards a broader concept of literacy represent a powerful framework for technology use in ESL/EFL language education. They facilitate understanding that technology use is "a social practice" (Lankshear, Snyder & Green 2000:32) which requires not only technical skills such as clicking and scrolling but also cultural and critical dimensions which may be extremely challenging given the variety of socio-cultural and political contexts that ESL/EFL learners

---

2 According to Lankshear and Knobel (2003), from one standpoint the word “new” may refer to a new sociocultural approach to literacy as opposed to the “old” one – psycholinguistic. From the other, it may refer to the studies of new literacies which have appeared due to the contemporary changes in the society and its practices. In their recent book, Baynham and Prinsloo (2009) suggest referring to the field as Literacy Studies to push the boundaries of the concept of literacy itself and directions for future research.
come from and engage in digital literacy practices in.

Literacy Studies suggests digital literacy as an approach to language teaching which promotes “culturally and socially shaped ways of using, producing and understanding information in multiple formats from a range of sources when it is presented via the electronic screens of digital technologies” (Snyder 2009:143). The aim of this approach is to facilitate the development of a wide range of capabilities so that in a second or foreign language context, students are able to deal effectively, appropriately and successfully with multimodal texts and associated practices in a digital environment: to access, use, design, create, research, comprehend, analyse, evaluate, communicate, collaborate, and share.

Literacy Studies represents a powerful framework for rethinking what teachers might do with technology in EFL/ ESL classes. It enables educators to move away from “classroom as a language lab” and facilitate technologically authentic or situated practices that mirror the practices that people engage in their everyday lives in the context of the second/foreign language and provide learners with real reasons to use language and other modes of meaning-making in a digital environment. For example, the use of online discussions needs to go far beyond 100-150 word posts in a target language and responding constructively to other students’ posts as in the project by Birch & Volkov (2007). The students need to have the opportunity for experiences of real online discussion which may be highly multimodal, fast developing and changing, extremely argumentative and even aggressive, requiring knowledge of netiquette and certain genres. Similarly, authentic and thus, effective use of Facebook in a language classroom requires not simply posting assignments on Facebook, peer assessment and linguistic feedback (eg. Shih (2011)) but also, for example, focusing on students’ online presence, their online profiles and online safety as part of that which has become an extension of individuals and may have serious consequences for their personal and professional lives. Such experiences are valuable for ESL/EFL learners because they may be able to learn English genres associated with technology use which they encounter regularly in their lives, and will be better prepared to deal with them regardless of the level of their language proficiency and fluency.

5. Conclusion

This paper argues that the emergence of a new form of literacy associated with digital technologies and its dramatic influence on the social realms of human lives and employment patterns locally and globally have significant implications for contemporary ESL/EFL education. Language learners need to develop a number of important language-related capabilities to be able to compete in a global employment market and engage in professional practices effectively and successfully. The role of technology and, in particular, opportunities for digital literacy practices is seen as imperative for these learning experiences. As the review here of current research suggests, some shifts towards digital literacy as an approach have already happened in TESOL pedagogy, but the examples are still few. To promote these perspectives in the field, the paper offers Literacy Studies as a theoretical framework to inform ICT use in TESOL. This paper aims to add Literacy Studies to TESOL educators’ repertoire of theoretical perspectives to best inform their understanding of the important role of technology in language education. Once this framework is understood, TESOL educators are able to find and create relevant resources and methods that suit their contexts and provide learners with the opportunities to develop a wide range of capabilities required for the contemporary socio-economic context in which digital technologies represent an integral part.
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